Tesnau, Tab

From: Tesnau, Tab

Sent: Monday, March 11, 2013 4:36 PM

To: Patrick Oberth

Subject: RE: a couple questions about the letter

Thanks again for the supplemental information. That answers almost all the questions.

Based on the ReCommunity confirmation, I understand they are confirming that they are supplying you with what you had negotiated with them. Per the MSDS sheet it is "Engineered Fuel Feedstock: unrecyclable plastic, paper, cardboard that has been sorted to remove PVC, glass and metal and recyclables."

Based on this, it does not sound like they are supplying you specifically with the non-waste ReEngineered Feedstock as describe in their letter and response to them.

Is that correct? If they are supplying you with their "ReEngineered feedstock," please revise the letter (As suggested in #2 below).

From: Patrick Oberth [Pat.Oberth@vexortechnology.com]

Sent: Monday, March 11, 2013 10:56 AM

To: Tesnau, Tab

Subject: RE: a couple questions about the letter

I have a few questions on the 3-6-13 incoming letter to EPA. Maybe we should discuss these first.

- Did you get a letter from ReCommunity saying that the VEF that they send you is the non-waste product described in the August 24 letter (http://www.epa.gov/osw/nonhaz/define/pdfs/ReCommunityLetterAugust24.pdf)? Yes we did and it is attached to this email.
- 2. I was of the understanding that we could focus a response on the VEF made from ReCommunity's ReEngineered Feedstock (blended with the industrial wastes and processed). The March 6 letter to me references "Engineered Fuel Feedstock." Yes, I used the wrong terminology in the letter. If you want me to edit the documents to change the wording I will; just let me know.
- 3. This is an example of contaminant removal that was previously described, please concur if we can still use it "For example, a batch of feedstock is assessed and a material is found that is not acceptable (e.g., a brake pad), it is mechanically separated from the rest using a track hoe and removed by an operator." Yes, I used different wording in the letter but this is still relevant.
- 4. The March 6 letter says that materials that enhance combustion are added. Are the examples we previously discussed still appropriate "For example carbon black and oils are added, if needed, to increase the heating value. Absorbents are also added, if needed, at this stage or earlier in the process. The absorbents used include paper, cardboard, diaper tailings, and sawdust." Yes, this is still appropriate.

Someone else wanted to know if these fuel amendments and absorbents are virgin/non-waste materials. I was thinking that they are secondary materials. Is that correct? Should we list more materials as the fuel amendments or absorbents? Yes, these are secondary materials. They are used to absorb other materials listed in the amendment so I don't think we need to further expand the list.

5. Please confirm that the testing is on a composite sample of the process batch as we previously discussed.

Testing is done on composite samples of the batch for each batch processed and shipped to verify that the VEF meets the specifications as listed in the attachment.

I hope these answers the questions you had. Let me know if you need anything additional from VEXOR.

Thanks,

PATRICK OBERTH

Facility/Technical & Compliance Manager VEXOR Technology ®, Inc. 330-721-9773 phone 330-721-9438 fax 330-242-1169 cell www.vexortechnology.com



IMPORTANT CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE

This e-mail communication is intended only for the use of the individual to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is proprietary or confidential. If you are not the intended recipient (or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this communication to the intended recipient), you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone and destroy this message. Thank You.

From: Tesnau, Tab [mailto:Tesnau.Tab@epa.gov]

Sent: Friday, March 08, 2013 02:59 PM

To: Patrick Oberth

Subject: a couple questions about the letter

I have a few questions on the 3-6-13 incoming letter to EPA. Maybe we should discuss these first.

- 6. Did you get a letter from ReCommunity saying that the VEF that they send you is the non-waste product described in the August 24 letter (http://www.epa.gov/osw/nonhaz/define/pdfs/ReCommunityLetterAugust24.pdf)?
- 7. I was of the understanding that we could focus a response on the VEF made from ReCommunity's ReEngineered Feedstock (blended with the industrial wastes and processed). The March 6 letter to me references "Engineered Fuel Feedstock."
- 8. This is an example of contaminant removal that was previously described, please concur if we can still use it "For example, a batch of feedstock is assessed and a material is found that is not acceptable (e.g., a brake pad), it is mechanically separated from the rest using a track hoe and removed by an operator."
- 9. The March 6 letter says that materials that enhance combustion are added. Are the examples we previously discussed still appropriate "For example carbon black and oils are added, if needed, to increase the heating value. Absorbents are also added, if needed, at this stage or earlier in the process. The absorbents used include paper, cardboard, diaper tailings, and sawdust."

Someone else wanted to know if these fuel amendments and absorbents are virgin/non-waste materials. I was thinking that they are secondary materials. Is that correct? Should we list more materials as the fuel amendments or absorbents?

10. Please confirm that the testing is on a composite sample of the process batch as we previously discussed.

Tab Tesnau 703-605-0636

****************** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED ***************

This Email message contained an attachment named image001.jpg

which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers, network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at $(866)\ 411-4$ EPA (4372). The TDD number is $(866)\ 489-4900$.

****************** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED ****************